



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,682	03/05/2002	Stacey Secatch	10011021-1	7223
7590 03/10/2004 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
			FAROOQ, MOHAMMAD O	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182	2
Loveland, CO	80537-0599	•	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
,	10/091,682	SECATCH, STACEY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad O. Farooq	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	ition appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within th	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ony period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	D⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal matte	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-15 and 17-20</u> is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. d to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second of the seco) accepted or b) objected to be on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been received. Incuments have been received in Aporthe priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	9-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/091,682

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa, U.S. Pat. No. 5,740,063 in view of Matthews, U.S. Pat. No. 6,222,793.
- 2. As to claim 1, Nishikawa teaches having an input and an output (first and second memory), allowing data values that have been unloaded from the memory to be reloaded (restore) into the memory if a determination is made (via RESTORE function) that a data value should not have been unloaded from the memory (col. 1 lines 58-67; col. 2, lines 1-14; col. 4, lines 15-20).

Nishikawa does not teach FIFO and reloading at the beginning of a sequence of data values stored in the FIFO. Matthews teaches FIFO (col. 2, lines 35-45) and reloading at the beginning of a sequence of data values (addresses) stored in the FIFO (col. 2, lines 48-63). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Nishikawa and Matthews because that would provide non-zero start address for a block write operation to be performed (col. 3, lines 56-64).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,682

Art Unit: 2182

- 3. As to claims 2 and 3, Nishikawa teaches determination is made by logic external to the memory (FIFO; via function key SW5; col. 3, lines 31-43) and provided to the memory; and determination (by measuring result data and condition data) is made that the data value unloaded from the memory (FIFO) should have been unloaded, the unloaded data value is not reloaded into the memory (FIFO; proceeds with regular data transfer; col. 2, lines 53-67; col. 3, lines 31-43).
- 4. As to claim 4, Nishikawa teaches determination is made that the data value unloaded from the memory (FIFO) should have been unloaded, the unloaded data value is marked as an invalid memory (FIFO) data value (since null data is written in RAM 8 and because of ERASE function; col. 3, lines 7-30, lines 44-52; col. 4, lines 3-8).
- 5. As to claim 16, Nishikawa teaches method comprising the steps of: unloading a first data value from a memory (loading RAM 8; col. 2, lines53-67); determining whether or not the unloaded first data should have been unloaded from the memory (via RESTORE function; col. 4, lines 14-25); and

if a determination is made that the unloaded first data value should not have been unloaded from the memory, reloading the first data value back in to the memory such that the reloaded first data value occupies a first position in a sequence of data values stored in the memory (col. 1, lines 58-67; col. 2, lines 1-14; col. 4, lines 15-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,682

Art Unit: 2182

Page 4

Nishikawa does not teach FIFO and reloading at the beginning of a sequence of data values stored in the FIFO. Matthews teaches FIFO (col. 2, lines 35-45) and reloading at the beginning of a sequence of data values (addresses) stored in the FIFO (col. 2, lines 48-63). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Nishikawa and Matthews because that would provide non-zero start address for a block write operation to be performed (col. 3, lines 56-64).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-15 and 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad O. Farooq whose telephone number is (703) 305-3888. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maga

Mohammad O. Farooq March 6, 2004